

# 5TH CIRCUIT CORRUPTION BY BUSH JUDGES: (YEAHEL, SPARKS AND AUSTIN)

Several weeks prior to the beginning of the case, Mr. Scott filed a motion for recusal of Judge Yeakel, appointed to the Federal bench by President George W. Bush and assigned to this case involving fellow Bush appointee Ms. Darling, and her scandal that spans the entirety of all Bush Administrations.

In lock-step, Scott's recusal motion was ruled on by another Bush appointed judge, Judge Sparks. Sparks was appointed by President George H.W. Bush, father of President George W. Bush. Currently, there are a total of **TEN (10)** Bush family appointed judges within this issue. During this single issue, Bush judges 7,8 and 9: Yeakel, Sparks and Austin respectively, were assigned to this specific case.

As expected and in lock-step, Judge Sparks ruled against Scott's recusal motion in order to preserve the Bush control from the bench needed to continue the cover up of Ms. Darling's Faith and Family sex scandal within this case and courtroom.

But Judge Sparks went much further, unethically and abusively violating the judicial process of Scott's recusal motion by issuing an illegitimate and politically biased, unrelated and irrelevant statement which exonerates President George W. Bush from complicity with Ms. Darling's Faith and Family sex scandal within this lawsuit. Bush Judge Sparks wrote in his Recusal Order:

*“Having reviewed the Complaint and the file as a whole, the Court can discern absolutely no meritorious basis upon which former President George W. Bush could be named as a defendant in this lawsuit.”*

There is no way Judge Sparks could conclude his ruling concerning ANYTHING about President George W. Bush within this recusal motion. Here's how:

A). President George W. Bush **IS NOT NAMED** as a defendant within

this case and therefore is a non-issue.

- B). As a result, no evidence was submitted or included within this case concerning President George W. Bush and his involvement as a defendant.
- C). With no evidence included within this lawsuit for such, there is no legitimate judgement which could be concluded by Judge Sparks concerning President George W. Bush as a defendant. Judge Sparks' ruling on Mr. Scott's recusal motion is invalid as a result of his biased misuse of his political office as Federal Judge, which was meant only to corruptly and illegitimately distance George W. Bush from Ms. Darling and her Faith and Family sex scandal she caused within his administrations as Texas Governor and President over a **ten (10) year** period.
- D). Judge Sparks' ruling is nothing more than the fantastical and criminal misuse of the judicial system to further the cover up of those involved within Ms. Darling's Faith and Family sex scandal. This is the criminal misuse of the judicial system which **President Donald J. Trump** rails against, yet he hypocritically appointed those involved with Ms. Darling: **Brett Kavanaugh, Don Willett, Rick Perry and Ms. Darling** among others, to the highest positions in our judicial system and his administration. The cover up of Ms. Darling's Faith and Family sex scandal continues currently within the Trump Administration.

## **CORRUPTION IN THE COURTROOM COMMITTED BY JUDGE AUSTIN**

1). In lockstep with prior Bush influenced courts involved within this ongoing issue, Judge Austin attempted to prevent Whistleblower, Scott, from attending the hearing for which he had filed. Scott was given a **THREE (3) HOUR NOTICE** by this Bush court to appear in their Austin, Texas courtroom from Key West, Florida. Mr. Scott anticipated this corrupt tactic used against him many times before to achieve an automatic ruling against Mr. Scott on behalf of Ms. Darling as part of the ongoing cover up of her scandal, and appeared on time and prepared, **MUCH** to the surprise of the court and the Defendants.

2). As a result of their failed corrupt attempt by this Bush court to exclude Mr. Scott from the hearing on behalf of the political defendants, those defendants and their teams of high priced attorneys, arrogantly arrived in court late and unprepared, fully expecting Mr. Scott to be absent. In a fair and unbiased courtroom, for missing the start of a court hearing, the party that misses automatically loses. But not in this Bush-rigged court. Here is what happened:

### 3). THE CORRUPT COURTROOM ACTIONS OF JUDGE AUSTIN

A. Judge Austin Allowed the Austin based political Defendants (who could not get across town on time for their hearing), as much time as they needed, (over an hour), to prepare their case in the foyer of the courthouse, while Mr. Scott, whom the court corruptly tried to exclude from the hearing on behalf of their political friends, patiently waited on time and prepared in the Austin, Texas courtroom, ALL THE WAY from Key West, Florida, with just a 3 hour notice.

B. Plaintiff Scott, while waiting patiently in the vacant courtroom, witnessed Judge Austin criminally call for and conduct an **EX-PARTE MEETING** prior to the hearing, with lead counsel for Governor Perry, **Ms. Mariel Puryear**.

C. Judge Austin, who perpetrated these criminal acts against Mr. Scott in his courtroom on behalf of the political Defendants, denied Mr. Scott's motion for a mistrial for those exact criminal actions that he, (Judge Austin), conducted against Mr. Scott. Criminal/Judge/Jury/Executioner - all rolled into one.

The fix is in and Judges Yeakel, Sparks and Austin are now directly involved within Ms. Darling's scandal by their criminal misuse of the judicial system in collusion with the cover up of Ms. Darling's Faith and Family sex scandal.